Electronically Filed 12/21/2021 11:33 AM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

MAFC Michael J. Nuñez, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 10703 2 mnunez@murchisonlaw.com Tyler N. Ure, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 11730 ture@murchisonlaw.com MURCHISON & CUMMING, LLP 350 South Rampart Boulevard, Suite 320 Las Vegas, Nevada 89145 Telephone: (702) 360-3956 Facsimile: (702) 360-3957 7 Attorneys for Defendants, JENNINGS AND FULTON LTD. ADAM FULTON, JARED JENNINGS and **LOGAN WILLSON** 9 10 DISTRICT COURT 11 **CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA** 12 13 CASE NO. A-20-820446-C LINDSEY LICARI, an individual, Dept. No.: XX 14 Plaintiff. **HEARING REQUESTED** 15 VS. 16 **DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR FEES** JENNINGS AND FULTON LTD, a Nevada AND COSTS Corporation, SHUMWAY VAN LTD, a 17 Nevada Corporation, ADAM FULTON, an individual; JARED JENNINGS, an individual, LOGAN WILLSON, an individual, GRAYSON MOULTON, an individual, GARRETT CHASE, an || individual; STATE BAR OF NEVADA, A Nevada Corporation; DOES I through X. 21 inclusive,, Defendants. 22 Defendants JENNINGS AND FULTON LTD, ADAM FULTON, JARED JENNINGS 23 and LOGAN WILLSON ("Defendant," "JENNINGS AND FULTON" or "J&F"), by and through 25 | their attorneys of record, Murchison & Cumming, LLP, file their Motion for Fees and Costs pursuant to NRCP 68, NRCP 54 and NRS 18.010 et seq. 26 27 11/// 28 111

This Motion is based on the Memorandum of Points and Authorities, all the pleadings and papers on file herein, attached exhibits, and such argument as the Court may allow at the hearing of this Motion.

DATED: December 21, 2021

MURCHISON & CUMMING, LLP

By /s/ Tyler N. Ure
Michael J. Nuñez, Esq.
Nevada Bar No. 10703
Tyler N. Ure, Esq.
Nevada Bar No. 11730
350 South Rampart Boulevard, Suite 320
Las Vegas, Nevada 89145
Attorneys for Defendants,
JENNINGS AND FULTON LTD,
ADAM FULTON, JARED JENNINGS and
LOGAN WILLSON

DECLARATION OF TYLER N. URE, ESQ.

- I, Tyler N. Ure, declare as follows:
- 1. I am a senior associate at Murchison & Cumming, LLP, counsel of record for Defendants JENNINGS AND FULTON LTD, ADAM FULTON, JARED JENNINGS and LOGAN WILLSON.
- 2. I make this declaration in support of Defendants Jennings and Fulton LTD, Adam Fulton, Jared Jennings, and Logan Willson's Motion for Fees and Costs
- 3. I am the attorney primarily responsible for handling of this matter at the firm; I am familiar with the facts of this case; the facts stated herein; I reviewed the Matter Worked Detail Report re Fees; I know the contents thereof; and that the same is true of my own knowledge except for those matters stated on information and belief and, as to those matters, I believe them to be true.

I declare under penalty of perjury the foregoing facts are true and correct.

DATED: December 21, 2021

MURCHISON & CUMMING, LLP

By /s/ Tyler N. Ure
Michael J. Nuñez, Esq.
Nevada Bar No. 10703
Tyler N. Ure, Esq.
Nevada Bar No. 11730
350 South Rampart Boulevard, Suite 320
Las Vegas, Nevada 89145
Attorneys for Defendants,
JENNINGS AND FULTON LTD,
ADAM FULTON, JARED JENNINGS and
LOGAN WILLSON

1 2

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

I.

INTRODUCTION

This case legal malpractice claim that was filed simply to harass Defendants. This lawsuit is one of many others that Plaintiff has filed against J & F. A vexatious litigation order has already been issued by this court due to the amount of frivolous lawsuits filed by Plaintiff.

II.

LEGAL STANDARD

A. Legal Standard to Award Fees Under NRS 18.010.

NRS 18.010(2) states that "the court may make an allowance of attorney's fees. . . "

(b) Without regard to the recovery sought, when the court finds that the claim, counterclaim, cross-claim or third-party complaint or defense of the opposing party was brought or maintained without reasonable ground or to harass the prevailing party. The court shall liberally construe the provisions of this paragraph in favor of awarding attorney's fees in all appropriate situations. It is the intent of the Legislature that the court award attorney's fees pursuant to this paragraph and impose sanctions pursuant to Rule 11 of the Nevada Rules of Civil Procedure in all appropriate situations to punish for and deter frivolous or vexatious claims and defenses because such claims and defenses overburden limited judicial resources, hinder the timely resolution of meritorious claims and increase the costs of engaging in business and providing professional services to the public. (Emphasis added.)

In determining the amount of reasonable attorney's fees, the Nevada Supreme Court found a district court can multiply "the number of hours reasonably spent on the case by a reasonable hourly rate." In determining whether the amount is reasonable, the court must also consider (1) the qualities of the advocate, i.e., his ability, training, education, experience, professional standing, and skill; (2) the character of the work to be done; (3) the work actually performed by the lawyer; and (4) the result (the "Brunzell Factors.").²

///

26 / / /

28 Herbst v. Humana Ins. of Nevada, 105 Nev. 586, 590, 781 P.2d 762, 764 (1989).

² Brunzell v. Golden Gate National Bank, 85 Nev. 345, 349, 455 P.2d 31, 33 (1969).

B. Legal Standard to Award Fees Under NRCP 54. (a) Definition; Form. "Judgment" as used in these rules includes a decree and 2 any order from which an appeal lies. A judgment should not include recitals of pleadings, a master's report, or a record of prior proceedings. (d) Attorney Fees. (1) Reserved. (2) Attorney Fees. (A) Claim to Be by Motion. A claim for attorney fees must be made by motion. The court may decide a postjudgment motion for attorney fees despite the existence of a pending appeal from the underlying final judgment. (B) Timing and Contents of the Motion. Unless a statute or a court order provides otherwise, the motion must: (i) be filed no later than 21 days after written notice of entry of judgment is served; (ii) specify the judgment and the statute, rule, or other grounds entitling the movant to the award: (iii) state the amount sought or provide a fair estimate of it; (iv) disclose, if the court so orders, the nonprivileged financial terms of any agreement about fees for the services for which the claim is made: and (v) be supported by: (a) counsel's affidavit swearing that the fees were actually and necessarily incurred and were reasonable: (b) documentation concerning the amount of fees claimed; and (c) points and authorities addressing the appropriate factors to be considered by the court in deciding the motion. 17 18 111. 19 **LEGAL ARGUMENT** 20 Under NRCP 54, Defendant Jennings & Fulton, LTD Request Their Damages 21 Under Their Malpractice Claim Be All Attorney's Fees and Costs Incurred With a 22 <u>Treble Calculation for the Fraud Claims</u>. 23 NRCP 54 allows a prevailing party to move their fees and costs, after judgment is

111

NRCP 54.

25

26

27

28

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

entered. Here, this Court granted Defendants' motion for summary judgment on their fraud in

the inducement and trespass claim - it was unopposed. This application is timely and

appropriate. The nature and quality of the work conducted is outlined below, as required under

III

B. <u>Defendants Are Entitled to Attorney's Fees and Costs Incurred Since the</u> <u>Inception of the Current Matter Pursuant to NRS 18.010.</u>

Plaintiff's case was "brought or maintained without reasonable ground" and "to harass the prevailing party." Plaintiff's complaints seems to arise out of a cluster of legal actions that Plaintiff was previously involved in. The current complaint alleges legal malpractice among other causes of action that arise from a real estate transaction Plaintiff was involved in and a defamation action Plaintiff retained the services of J & F to defend.

The Complaint was meritless and that Plaintiff filing this simply to harass Defendants has become abundantly clear when this court sees she has not been actively involved in this case. This court has already found Plaintiff to be engaging in a "pattern of harassing and threatening behavior directed at the litigants and other employees of J&F, both in person at the offices of J&F and through electronic means." See Order Granting Motion for Temporary Restraining order and Motion for Injunctive Relief.

Plaintiff did not file an opposition to Defendants' motion for summary judgment filed October 4, 2021 that was granted on November 10, 2021.

The current complaint lacks any basis and while a single complaint having completely meritless claims could arguably considered excusable for a Pro Se Litigant, this court should consider the fact this lawsuit is merely one of many filed by Plaintiff against J&F and other parties that are meritless, and on that basis, fees and costs pursuant to NRS 18.010 should be granted.

C. <u>Defendants' Fees and Costs are Reasonable and Customary as Considered Under the Brunzell Factors.</u>

Nevada Courts have adopted the analysis outlined in <u>Brunzell</u> to determine whether the attorneys' fees and costs requested by a law firm are reasonable and customary. <u>Brunzell v. Golden Gate Nat. Bank</u>, 85 Nev. 345, 455 P.2d 31 (1969). The following proves the attorneys' fees and costs requested here are reasonable and customary should this court grant Defendants' motion for attorneys' fees and costs.

28 | / / /

1. Quality of counsel's advocacy.

(a) Michael Nuñez, Esq.

Mr. Nuñez is a Senior Partner and the Partner-in-Charge of Murchison & Cumming's Las Vegas office; he is AV-rated and is licensed to practice in Nevada since 2007. Mr. Nuñez is also licensed in California. Mr. Nuñez successfully argued dozens of cases before the California Court of Appeals and the United States Appellate Court for the Ninth Circuit and received published opinions from the Nevada Supreme Court. As a trial attorney, Mr. Nuñez has litigated – and taken to verdict – multiple personal-injury and premises liability matters.

(b) Tyler Ure, Esq.

Tyler Ure is a Senior Associate at Murchison & Cumming since 2015. Tyler Ure was admitted to practice in 2009. Tyler Ure has worked on numerous high-profile, high-value personal injury, wrongful death, business litigation, insurance litigation cases, professional liability and commercial general liability. He has taken cases to trial throughout his professional career. Tyler Ure was recently recognized by "The Best Lawyers in America 2022."

2. Character of the work to be done.

This matter involves a malpractice suit against Defendants arising from a family law matter Defendants represented Plaintiff in and that Plaintiff obtained an unfavorable outcome in. This lawsuit is one of many other lawsuits Plaintiff has filed against others where the charges are alleged to be frivolous. Plaintiff has filed such an extraordinary amount of questionably frivolous lawsuits that she has been issued a vexatious litigant order by the Eighth Judicial District Court of Ciark County requiring any lawsuit she files with this court to first be reviewed by the Chief Judge.

3. The work actually done.

The fees requested by Defendants are exclusive to work performed by counsel on behalf of Defendants in this case and limited to the defense of Plaintiff's frivolous claims. All the work was performed in a reasonable, diligent and thorough fashion. A paralegal/law clerk-which has an hourly rate- was utilized where practicable.

4. The results obtained.

Defendants successfully secured judgment in their favor via the granting of a motion for summary judgment that dismissed all claims.

D. Defendants Seek a Reasonable Fee for the Work Performed.

Once an entitlement to a fees and cost award is established, the Court must determine the amount. Nevada courts have discretion in selecting the method to determine the amount of attorneys' fees to be awarded provided that it is reasonable and fair. See Schuette v. Beazer Homes Holdings Corp., 124 P.3d 530, 548-549 (Nev. 2005) (granting discretion to trial court to determine method but noting that the Brunzell factors should be analyzed as part of the determination).

A reasonable hourly rate is calculated according to the current, prevailing market rates in the community charged by attorneys "of reasonably comparable skill, experience and reputation." <u>Blum v. Stenson</u>, 465 U.S. 886, 895-896, n. 11 (1984).

Here, the rate for Michael J. Nuñez was \$250 per hour; the rate for Tyler N. Ure was \$250 per hour; and the rate for Manuel R. Gurule was \$100 per hour as a law clerk and \$250 per hour as a licensed attorney. The Court may look to a firm's own rate for evidence of the comparable rate: the fact "[t]hat a lawyer charges a particular hourly rate, and gets it, is evidence bearing on what the market rate is, because the lawyer and his clients are part of the market." Carson v. Billings Police Dep't, 470 F.3d 889, 892 (9th Cir. 2006). These rates are reasonable for the market.

In this case, Defendants request the maximum attorneys' fees allowed under NRS 18.010 of \$64,715.00 and costs of \$704.28 in the total amount of \$65,419.28. To support this request, M&C's relevant billing records and invoices will be supplemented for this Court's review. See Declaration of Tyler Ure.

In considering the number of years that attorneys Michael Nuñez, and Tyler Ure, the number of cases tried, and skill-set they and the firm developed over the years by practicing in both State and Federal Court in this jurisdiction and others, the rate charged and total

amount incurred in attorneys' fees is reasonable, if not slightly below market for defense hourly rates.

IV.

CONCLUSION

For the reasons stated herein, Defendants respectfully request this court award Defendants' attorneys' fees in the amount of \$64,715.00 and an award of costs in the amount of \$704.28, for a total award of \$65,419.28. Defendants further request the total awarded be multiplied by a factor of 3 as treble damages for Plaintiff's frivolous fraud claims against Defendant, for a grand total of \$196,257.84, against Plaintiff LINDSEY LICARI.

DATED: December 21, 2021

MURCHISON & CUMMING, LLP

By /s/ Tyler N. Ure
Michael J. Nuñez, Esq.
Nevada Bar No. 10703
Tyler N. Ure, Esq.
Nevada Bar No. 11730
350 South Rampart Boulevard, Suite 320
Las Vegas, Nevada 89145
Attorneys for Defendants,
JENNINGS AND FULTON LTD,
ADAM FULTON, JARED JENNINGS and
LOGAN WILLSON

1 **PROOF OF SERVICE** 2 STATE OF NEVADA, COUNTY OF CLARK At the time of service, I was over 18 years of age and not a party to this action. I am 3 employed in the County of Clark, State of Nevada. My business address is 350 South Rampart Boulevard, Suite 320, Las Vegas, NV 89145. 5 On December 21, 2021, I served true copies of the following document(s) described as DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR FEES AND COSTS on the interested parties in this action as follows: BY ELECTRONIC SERVICE: by transmitting via the Court's electronic filing and electronic service the document(s) listed above to the Counsel set forth on the service list on this date pursuant to Administrative order 14-2 NEFCR 9 (a), and EDCR Rule 7.26. BY MAIL: I enclosed the document(s) in a sealed envelope or package addressed to the persons at the addresses listed in the Service List and placed the envelope for collection and mailing, following our ordinary business practices. I am readily familiar with Murchison & Cumming's practice for collecting and processing correspondence for mailing. I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if the postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one business day after the date of deposit for mailing in 12 this declaration. 13 Lindsev Licari Plaintiff In Proper Person 9564 Scorpion Track Court Las Vegas, NV 89178 14 Telephone: (702) 577-6657 15 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Nevada that the 16 foregoing is true and correct. 17 Executed on December 21, 2021, at Las Vegas, Nevada. 18 19 /s/ Nicole Garcia Nicole Garcia 20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28